

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,436	09/851,436 05/09/2001		Paulus Carpelan	P 280347 2000455US/HM/HER	2106	
909	7590	04/29/2005	EXAMINER			
		NTHROP SHAW	LY, ANH VU H			
P.O. BOX						
MCLEAN	, VA 22	2102	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
					2667	
				DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>(</b> )	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/851,436	CARPELAN, PAULUS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anh-Vu H Ly	2667	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) TI 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for formal ma	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the	rawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner.  ccepted or b) □ objected to	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
dee the attached detailed Office action for a fi	st of the defined copies he	rreserved.	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/851,436

Art Unit: 2667

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koivu (US Patent No. 6,266,332 B1).

With respect to claim 1, Koivu discloses (col. 3, lines 13-23) that a different channel or a time slot may be used in each branch (each radio channel is assigned substantially an equal number of times), consisting of one or more base stations, to make contact with the base station controller during initialization. Further, Koivu discloses (col. 4, lines 6-9) that the message is transmitted on the common channel CH1, which has been allocated in the PCM connection 4. Herein, the common channel or a time slot is a dedicated assigned channel of a particular base station during the manufacturing to make contact with the base station controller during

Application/Control Number: 09/851,436 Page 3

Art Unit: 2667

initialization (assigning one radio channel out of a determined set of radio channels to each base station during manufacture of the base stations).

With respect to claims 3 and 5, Koivu discloses (col. 3, lines 13-16) that a common channel consisting of one or more time slots of the PCM connection, or parts of it, has been allocated (assigning a randomly selected radio channel out of the determined set of radio channels to the first base station) in each of the three PCM connections 4 to be commonly used by each base station connected to it.

With respect to claim 4, Koivu discloses (col. 3, line 65 – col. 4, line 22) that in connection with the assembly of the base station or, alternatively, its installation, the installer determines an identifier for the base station. The transmission units of the base station include the identifier (assigning a radio channel derived on the basis on an individual serial number of the base station out of the determined set of radio channels to each base station) into identification message to be sent to the base station controller. Upon detecting the message, the transmission units being receiving it and storing transmission branching table in the memory 6.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2667

2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koivu (US Patent No. 6,266,332 B1) in view of Verrier et al (US Patent No. 6,606,499 B1).

With respect to claim 2, Koivu discloses in Fig. 1, a cellular radio system for making contact with the base station controller using the assigned dedicated channel. Koivu does not disclose determining a given order for the radio channels, selecting a first base station and assigning one available radio channel thereto; selecting a second base station and assigning a radio channel having the next order number thereto or, if the radio channel assigned to the first base station has the largest order number out of the determined set of radio channels; and continuing assigning radio channels according to order numbers in an ascending or descending order until radio channels are assigned to the entire set of base stations. Verrier discloses (col. 5, line 48 – col. 6, line 42 and Figs. 2-6) that each BSC is associated with a memory which contains list of channels for each base station 10, 14, 15 which it controls. Herein, each of the physical channels processed by the DCA method belongs to one of the three lists L1, L2, and L3 and is therefore associated with a respective priority index P1(i) or P2(j) or P3(k) (determining a given order for the radio channels). Verrier discloses in Figs. 3-6, different procedures for managing and allocating channels in the lists L1, L2, L3 to the base stations for communications in the system. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the features of ranking the channels in an order and allocating channels to each of the base stations according to the ranking in Koivu's system, as suggested by Verrier, to optimize the distribution of the bandwidth between cells as well as the quality of the communications.

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed February 04, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on page 3 that Koivu teaches away from such an implementation since Koivu discloses that the base stations should be manufactured to utilize a common channel when they contact a base station controller for the first time. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The common channel, herein, is a dedicated assigned channel of a particular base station to make contact with the base station controller during initialization. Koivu discloses (col. 3, lines 13-23) that a different channel or a time slot may be used in each branch, consisting of one or more base stations, to make contact with the base station controller during initialization. Herein, the channel is already assigned to the base station during manufacturing. Therefore, Koivu discloses assigning one radio channel out of a determined set of radio channels to each base station during manufacture of the base stations in such a way that way radio channel is assigned substantially an equal number of times.

Applicant further argues on page 3 that Koivu fails to disclose or suggest that radio channels should be randomly assigned to base stations. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Koivu discloses (col. 3, lines 13-16) that a common channel consisting of one or more time slots of the PCM connection, or parts of it, has been allocated (assigning a randomly selected radio channel out of the determined set of radio channels to the first base station) in each of the three PCM connections 4 to be commonly used by each base station connected to it. Herein, first, third or fourth time slot can be used by the base station to make contact with the base station controller.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,436 Page 6

Art Unit: 2667

Applicant further argues on page 4 that Koivu does not disclose radio channels should be derived on the basis of an individual serial number. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Koivu discloses (col. 4, lines 4-16) that the transmission units of the base station include the identifier into identification message to be sent to the base station controller. Upon detecting the message, the transmission units being receiving it and storing transmission branching table in the memory.

Applicant, furthermore, argues on page 4 that Verrier's teaching does not relate to channel allocation at all. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Verrier discloses in Figs. 3-6, different procedures for managing and allocating channels in the lists L1, L2, and L3 to the base stations for communications in the system. Therefore, Verrier's teaching relates to channel allocation.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,436 Page 7

Art Unit: 2667

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh-Vu H Ly whose telephone number is 571-272-3175. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

avl

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600